

## Joseph Kinzer's *Overthrow* Analysis (50 points)

	Exceeds Standard (A)	Meets Standards (B)	Not Yet (C)	Unacceptable (D/F)
<b>Thesis Statement</b>	Powerful <u>thesis statement</u> that analyzes the issue, answers the question, and presents a strong point of view with reasons to support it. -----	<u>Thesis statement</u> that answers the question, offers a claim, and reasons to support it -----	<u>Thesis statement</u> is confusing and/or lacks an argument -----	No <u>thesis statement</u> -----
<b>Topic Sentence</b>	Powerful <u>topic sentences</u> that connect to the thesis statement and emphasize the main point, or focus, of the paragraph -----	<u>Topic sentences</u> that connect to the thesis statement and convey the focus of the paragraph -----	Weak <u>topic sentences</u> that do not connect to thesis statement and do not convey focus of the paragraph -----	No <u>topic sentences</u> -----
<b>Evidence</b>	Frequent, relevant, concise examples of strong <u>evidence</u> used to support topic sentences; contains <i>brief</i> and pertinent quotations, <i>contextualizes with references to classwork or other eras or themes</i> -----	Multiple examples of <u>evidence</u> to support topic sentences; may be a bit vague or less relevant; may have very long or unrelated quotations; <i>provides little contextualization</i> -----	Minimal or very vague <u>evidence</u> used to support topic sentences; <i>no contextualization to connect to other eras or themes</i> -----	No <u>evidence</u> used to support topic sentences -----
<b>Analysis</b>	Powerful <u>analysis</u> of evidence that connects back to thesis, and draws conclusions; may address and rebut counter-arguments -----	Solid <u>analysis</u> of evidence that connects back to thesis, but may be a bit vague or ignore relevant counter-arguments -----	Has little <u>analysis</u> of evidence – does not connect to thesis -----	No <u>analysis</u> of evidence -----
<b>Writing style</b> (Please use spellcheck and proofread!)	<u>Grammar, mechanics, and vocabulary</u> are clean and powerful -----	<u>Grammar, mechanics, and vocabulary</u> are clean -----	<u>Grammar, mechanics, and vocabulary</u> contain some distracting errors -----	<u>Grammar, mechanics, and vocabulary</u> contain many distracting errors -----
<b>Organization</b>	<u>Organization</u> of essay is clear and powerful and strengthen the argument. Paragraphs and sentences are well organized. Introduction sets up the topic, conclusion is meaningful -----	<u>Organization</u> of essay is logical and helps to build the argument. Paragraphs and sentences are somewhat organized. Introduction or conclusion are vague. -----	Essay is confusing and not well <u>organized</u> in places. Paragraphs and sentences are weakly organized. Provides very little intro or conclusion. -----	Essay is <u>not organized</u> . Paragraphs and sentences are not organized, and lack clarity overall -----
<b>Citation and Sources</b>	No errors on Works Cited page or in-text citations -----	Few errors on Works Cited page or in-text citations -----	Too many distracting errors on Works Cited page or in-text citations -----	Missing Works Cited page and/or in-text citations -----

